

REMARKS ON BIANCHI SUMS AND PONTRJAGIN CLASSES

MOHAMMED LARBI LABBI

ABSTRACT. We use the exterior and composition products of double forms together with the alternating operator to reformulate Pontrjagin classes and all Pontrjagin numbers in terms of the Riemannian curvature. We show that the alternating operator is obtained by a succession of applications of the first Bianchi sum and we prove some useful identities relating the previous four operations on double forms.

As an application, we prove that for a k -conformally flat manifold of dimension $n \geq 4k$, the Pontrjagin classes P_i vanish for any $i \geq k$.

Finally, we study the equality case in an inequality of Thorpe between the Euler-Poincaré characteristic and the k -th Pontrjagin number of a $4k$ -dimensional Thorpe manifold.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. The Exterior and composition Algebras of Double Forms	4
2.1. The exterior algebra of double forms	4
2.2. The composition algebra of double forms	4
3. Basic maps in the space of double forms	5
4. Pontrjagin forms and scalars	10
4.1. Pontrjagin forms	10
4.2. Pontrjagin-Chern scalars of double forms	11
5. Thorpe Manifolds	15
6. Final remarks	17
6.1. Mixed Pontrjagin numbers	17
6.2. Stehney's formula for Pontrjagin forms	18

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C20, 53B20.

Key words and phrases. Double forms, Bianchi sum, Thorpe manifold, k -conformally flat, Pontrjagin numbers, Pontrjagin classes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) be a compact oriented Riemannian smooth manifold of dimension n , and k a positive integer such that $4k \leq n$. We denote by R the Riemann curvature tensor of (M, g) seen as a $(2, 2)$ double form. It results from a theorem of Chern [4] that the following object (which is written using double forms operations)

$$P_k(R) = \frac{1}{(k!)^2 (2\pi)^{2k}} \text{Alt}(R^k \circ R^k),$$

is a closed differential form of degree $4k$ that represents the k -th pontrjagin class of M . In particular, if $n = 4k$, the integral over M of $P_k(R)$ is a topological invariant, namely it is the k -th Pontrjagin number of M .

In this paper, we first study in some details the double forms operations that were used to define the above differential form, namely the Alt operator, the exterior product of double forms that were used to define the k -th power of R and finally the composition product $\circ$.

In particular, we show that the Alt operator is obtained by a succession of applications the first Bianchi sum $\mathfrak{S}$ in the algebra of double forms, precisely we prove that

Proposition. *Let ω be a (p, q) double form then we have*

$$\text{Alt}(\omega) = (-1)^{pq + \frac{q(q-1)}{2}} \frac{p!}{(p+q)!} \mathfrak{S}^q \omega.$$

where $\mathfrak{S}^q = \mathfrak{S} \circ \dots \circ \mathfrak{S}$, q -times. In particular, if ω satisfies the first Bianchi identity then $\text{Alt}(\omega) = 0$.

We prove also another useful identity, namely

Proposition. *Let $q, s \geq 1$ and $p \geq 0$. If ω_1 is a (p, q) double form and ω_2 is a $(q-1, s-1)$ double form then*

$$\text{Alt}(g\omega_2 \circ \omega_1) = (-1)^p \frac{p+1}{s} \text{Alt}(\omega_2 \circ \mathfrak{S}(\omega_1)).$$

Where g is the Riemannian metric. In particular, if ω_1 satisfies the first Bianchi identity then $\text{Alt}(g\omega_2 \circ \omega_1) = 0$.

As a direct consequence of the previous proposition, we show that Pontrjagin classes depend only on the Weyl part of the Riemann curvature tensor R . In particular, all the Pontrjagin classes of a conformally-flat manifold vanish.

More generally, for $n \geq 4k$, following Kulkarni we shall say that a Riemannian n -manifold (M, g) is k -conformally flat if its Riemann curvature tensor R satisfies $R^k =$

gH . In other words, the k -th exterior power of R is divisible by g in the exterior algebra of double forms. We recover the usual conformally-flat manifolds for $k = 1$.

Another consequence of above formula is the following

Theorem. *If (M, g) is a k -conformally flat Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 4k$, then the Pontrjagin classes P_i of M vanish for $i \geq k$.*

In section 5, we study a generalization of 4 dimensional Einstein manifolds namely Thorpe manifolds. An oriented compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension $n = 4k$ is said to be a *Thorpe manifold* if $*R^k = R^k$. Where R is the Riemann curvature tensor seen as a $(2, 2)$ double form, R^k its exterior power and $*$ is the double Hodge star operator acting on double forms. The usual Einstein 4-manifolds are obtained for $k = 1$.

The following theorem provides topological obstructions to the existence of a Thorpe metric

Theorem. *Let (M, g) be a compact orientable $4k$ -dimensional Thorpe manifold, then*

$$\chi(M) \geq \frac{k!k!}{(2k)!} |p_k(M)|.$$

Where $p_k(M)$ is the k -th Pontrjagin number of M and $\chi(M)$ is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of M . Furthermore if equality holds then M is k -Ricci flat, that is $cR^k = 0$.

The first part of the previous theorem is originally due to Thorpe [16], however the second part of the previous theorem is a new result. Both parts of the theorem will be proved in the last section using double forms formalism.

In dimension 4, the second part of the previous theorem tell us that a compact orientable Einstein manifold M that satisfies $2\chi(M) = |p_1(M)|$ must be Ricci-flat. We note that Hitchin [7] showed that such a metric must be then either flat or a Ricci flat Kahler metric on the $K3$ surface (Calabi-Yau metric), or a quotient of it.

In dimension 8, Kim [9] showed if equality holds in the previous theorem (that is $6\chi(M) = |p_2(M)|$) and if we assume also that the metric is Einstein then the metric must be flat. We prove the following generalization of Kim's result

Theorem. *A compact orientable manifold M of dimension $8k$ that is at the same time hyper $2k$ -Einstein and Thorpe and satisfies $\frac{(4k)!}{(2k)!(2k)!} \chi(M) = |p_{2k}(M)|$ must be k -flat, that is $R^k = 0$.*

Where a metric is said to be hyper $2k$ -Einstein if its Riemann curvature tensor satisfies $cR^k = \lambda g^{2k-1}$, for some constant real number λ . We recover the usual Einstein metrics for $k = 1$.

In the last section, we prove a Stehney type formula for all the (mixed) Pontrjagin numbers $p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_m^{k_m}$. Recall that these numbers are important topological invariants

for a manifold, they are oriented cobordism invariant, and together with Stiefel-Whitney numbers they determine an oriented manifold's oriented cobordism class. Furthermore, the signature and the $\hat{A}$ genus can be expressed explicitly through linear combinations of Pontrjagin numbers.

Theorem. *Let (M, g) be a compact $4k$ -dimensional Riemannian oriented manifold with Riemann curvature tensor R , seen as a $(2, 2)$ double form, and let $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m$ be a collection of natural numbers such that $k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + mk_m = k$. Then the Pontrjagin number $p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_m^{k_m}$ of M is given by the integral over M of the following $4k$ -form*

$$P_1^{k_1} P_2^{k_2} \dots P_m^{k_m} = \frac{(4k)!}{[(2k)!]^2 (2\pi)^{2k}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{[(2i)!]^2}{(i!)^{2k_i} (4i)!} \right) \text{Alt} \left[(R \circ R)^{k_1} (R^2 \circ R^2)^{k_2} \dots (R^m \circ R^m)^{k_m} \right].$$

Where all the powers over double forms are taken with respect to the exterior product of double forms.

2. THE EXTERIOR AND COMPOSITION ALGEBRAS OF DOUBLE FORMS

2.1. The exterior algebra of double forms. Let (V, g) be an Euclidean real vector space of finite dimension n . Let $\Lambda V^* = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \Lambda^p V^*$ (resp. $\Lambda V = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \Lambda^p V$) denotes the exterior algebra of the dual space V^* (resp. V). We define the space of exterior double forms of V (resp. the space of exterior double vectors) as

$$\mathcal{D}(V^*) = \Lambda V^* \otimes \Lambda V^* = \bigoplus_{p, q \geq 0} \mathcal{D}^{p, q}(V^*),$$

(resp.

$$\mathcal{D}(V) = \Lambda V \otimes \Lambda V = \bigoplus_{p, q \geq 0} \mathcal{D}^{p, q}(V),$$

where $\mathcal{D}^{p, q}(V^*) = \Lambda^p V^* \otimes \Lambda^q V^*$, (resp. $\mathcal{D}^{p, q}(V) = \Lambda^p V \otimes \Lambda^q V$).

The space $\mathcal{D}(V^*)$ is naturally a bi-graded associative algebra, called *double exterior algebra of V^** , where for simple elements $\omega_1 = \theta_1 \otimes \theta_2 \in \mathcal{D}^{p, q}(V^*)$ and $\omega_2 = \theta_3 \otimes \theta_4 \in \mathcal{D}^{r, s}(V^*)$, the multiplication is given by

$$(1) \quad \omega_1 \omega_2 = (\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2)(\theta_3 \otimes \theta_4) = (\theta_1 \wedge \theta_3) \otimes (\theta_2 \wedge \theta_4) \in \mathcal{D}^{p+r, q+s}(V^*).$$

Where $\wedge$ denotes the standard exterior product on the exterior algebra ΛV^* .

A *double form of degree (p, q)* is by definition an element of the tensor product $\mathcal{D}^{p, q}(V^*) = \Lambda^p V^* \otimes \Lambda^q V^*$.

The above multiplication in $\mathcal{D}(V^*)$ is called the *exterior product of double forms*.

2.2. The composition algebra of double forms. We define a second product in the space of double exterior vectors $\mathcal{D}(V)$ (resp. in the space of double exterior forms $\mathcal{D}(V^*)$) which will be denoted by $\circ$ and will be called the *composition product*, see

[5, 13]. Given $\omega_1 = \theta_1 \otimes \theta_2 \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$ and $\omega_2 = \theta_3 \otimes \theta_4 \in \mathcal{D}^{r,s}$ two simple double exterior forms (or double exterior vectors), we set

$$(2) \quad \omega_1 \circ \omega_2 = (\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2) \circ (\theta_3 \otimes \theta_4) = \langle \theta_1, \theta_4 \rangle \theta_3 \otimes \theta_2 \in \mathcal{D}^{r,q}.$$

It is clear that $\omega_1 \circ \omega_2 = 0$ unless $p = s$. Then one can extend the definition to all double forms using linearity. We emphasize that, in contrast with the exterior product of double forms, the composition product clearly depends on the metric g .

It turns out that the space of double forms endowed with the composition product $\circ$ is an associative algebra.

For a double form (or vector) $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$, we denote by $\omega^t \in \mathcal{D}^{q,p}$ the transpose of ω . For a simple double form it is defined by

$$(3) \quad (\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2)^t = \theta_2 \otimes \theta_1.$$

Using linearity the previous definition can be extended to all double forms. Note that a double form ω is a symmetric double form if and only if $\omega^t = \omega$.

3. BASIC MAPS IN THE SPACE OF DOUBLE FORMS

Let $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be an orthonormal basis of V and $(e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*)$ its dual basis of 1-forms. Let $h = e_i^* \otimes e_j^* \in \mathcal{D}^{(1,1)}(V^*)$ be a simple double form, we define four basic linear maps $\mathcal{D}(V^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(V^*)$ as follows. For $\omega = \theta_1 \otimes \theta_2 \in \mathcal{D}(V^*)$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} L_h^{1,1}(\omega) &= e_i^* \wedge \theta_1 \otimes e_j^* \wedge \theta_2, \\ L_h^{-1,-1}(\omega) &= i_{e_i} \theta_1 \otimes i_{e_j} \theta_2, \\ L_h^{1,-1}(\omega) &= e_i^* \wedge \theta_1 \otimes i_{e_j} \theta_2, \\ L_h^{-1,1}(\omega) &= i_{e_i} \theta_1 \otimes e_j^* \wedge \theta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Where i_{e_j} denotes the usual interior product. We use then linearity to extend first the previous maps $L_h^{a,b}$ to $\mathcal{D}(V^*)$ and secondly to define them for any $h \in \mathcal{D}^{(1,1)}(V^*)$. For instance, for the inner product $g = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^* \otimes e_i^* \in \mathcal{D}^{(1,1)}(V^*)$ we have

$$L_g^{a,b}(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n L_{e_i^* \otimes e_i^*}^{a,b}(\omega).$$

Recall that the exterior algebra ΛV^* inherits naturally an inner product, denoted $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, from the inner product g of V . This inner product can be canonically extended to an inner product denoted also by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the space of double forms $\mathcal{D}(V^*)$. Precisely, for simple double forms in $\mathcal{D}^{(p,q)}(V^*)$ we set

$$\langle \theta_1 \otimes \theta_2, \theta_3 \otimes \theta_4 \rangle = \langle \theta_1, \theta_3 \rangle \langle \theta_2, \theta_4 \rangle.$$

With respect to the previous canonical inner product we have

Proposition 3.1. *For any $h \in \mathcal{D}^{(1,1)}(V^*)$ we have*

- (1) *The map $L_h^{-1,-1}$ is the adjoint map of $L_h^{1,1}$.*
- (2) *The map $L_h^{-1,1}$ is the adjoint map of $L_h^{1,-1}$.*

Proof. Straightforward, it results directly from the fact that the interior product by a vector v is the adjoint of the exterior multiplication by v^* . $\square$

The following proposition shows that the previous maps are the basic maps of the algebra of curvature structures as defined in [10]

Proposition 3.2. *Let (V, g) be an Euclidean vector space and $\omega \in \mathcal{D}(V^*)$ an arbitrary double form then we have*

- (1) $L_g^{1,1}(\omega) = g\omega$ *is the left multiplication map by g .*
- (2) $L_g^{-1,-1}(\omega) = c\omega$ *is the contraction map of double forms.*
- (3) $L_g^{1,-1}(\omega) = \mathfrak{S}\omega$ *is the first Bianchi sum.*
- (4) $L_g^{-1,1}(\omega) = \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}\omega$ *is the adjoint first Bianchi sum.*

Proof. The proof of (1) is straightforward, (2) results from the fact that the contraction map is the adjoint of the multiplication map by g , see [11]. To prove (3) we proceed as follows

Let $\omega = e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}^* \otimes e_{j_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_q}^*$ be a simple (p, q) double form then

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_g^{1,-1}(\omega) &= \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^* \wedge e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}^* \otimes i_{e_i} e_{j_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_q}^* \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^* \wedge e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}^* \otimes \sum_{k=1}^q (-1)^{q-1} \langle e_i^*, e_{j_k}^* \rangle e_{j_1}^* \wedge \dots \widehat{e_{j_k}^*} \dots \wedge e_{j_p}^* \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^q (-1)^{q-1} \langle e_i^*, e_{j_k}^* \rangle e_i^* \wedge e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}^* \otimes e_{j_1}^* \wedge \dots \widehat{e_{j_k}^*} \dots \wedge e_{j_p}^* \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^q (-1)^{q-1} e_{j_k}^* \wedge e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}^* \otimes e_{j_1}^* \wedge \dots \widehat{e_{j_k}^*} \dots \wedge e_{j_p}^* \\
 &= \mathfrak{S}\omega.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the same way one can prove that

$$L_g^{-1,1}(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^q (-1)^{q-1} e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \widehat{e_{i_k}^*} \dots \wedge e_{i_p}^* \otimes e_{i_k}^* \wedge e_{j_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_p}^* = \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}\omega.$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

Remark 3.1. We remark that the first Bianchi sum and its adjoint are related by the formula (which can be easily checked)

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\omega) = \left(\mathfrak{S}(\omega^t) \right)^t.$$

As a first direct application of the previous proposition we show that the Bianchi sum is an antiderivation as was observed in [10]

Proposition 3.3. Let $\omega_1 \in \mathcal{D}^{(p,q)}(V^*)$ and $\omega_2 \in \mathcal{D}^{(r,s)}(V^*)$ then

$$(4) \quad \mathfrak{S}(\omega_1 \omega_2) = (\mathfrak{S}\omega_1)\omega_2 + (-1)^{p+q}\omega_1 \mathfrak{S}\omega_2.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\omega_1 = \theta_1 \otimes \theta_2 \in \mathcal{D}^{(p,q)}(V^*)$ and $\omega_2 = \theta_3 \otimes \theta_4 \in \mathcal{D}^{(r,s)}(V^*)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}(\omega_1 \omega_2) &= \mathfrak{S}(\theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 \otimes \theta_2 \wedge \theta_4) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 \otimes i_{e_i}(\theta_2 \wedge \theta_4) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 \otimes \left(i_{e_i}(\theta_2) \wedge \theta_4 + (-1)^q \theta_2 \wedge i_{e_i}(\theta_4) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(e_i \wedge \theta_1 \otimes i_{e_i} \theta_2 \right) (\theta_3 \otimes \theta_4) + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^p \theta_1 \wedge e_i \wedge \theta_3 \otimes (-1)^q \theta_2 \wedge i_{e_i}(\theta_4) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(e_i \wedge \theta_1 \otimes i_{e_i} \theta_2 \right) (\theta_3 \otimes \theta_4) + \left(\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2 \right) (-1)^{p+q} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \wedge \theta_3 \otimes i_{e_i}(\theta_4) \\ &= (\mathfrak{S}\omega_1)\omega_2 + (-1)^{p+q}\omega_1 \mathfrak{S}\omega_2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that the alternating operator is a linear map $\mathcal{D}(V^*) \rightarrow \Lambda(V^*)$ defined as follows

$$(5) \quad \text{Alt}(\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2) = \frac{p!q!}{(p+q)!} \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2,$$

where $\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2 \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}(V^*)$. It is clear that Alt is a surjective map. However, it is far from being injective. The next proposition shows in particular that the kernel of Alt contains all double forms satisfying the first Bianchi identity.

Proposition 3.4. Let ω be a (p, q) double form then we have

$$\text{Alt}\omega = (-1)^{pq + \frac{q(q-1)}{2}} \frac{p!}{(p+q)!} \mathfrak{S}^q \omega.$$

where $\mathfrak{S}^q = \mathfrak{S} \circ \dots \circ \mathfrak{S}$, q -times.

Proof. By linearity we may assume that $\omega = \theta_1 \otimes \theta_2$ is a decomposed (p, q) double form. Using proposition 3.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}^p \omega &= \left(L_g^{1,-1} \right)^p (\omega) \\
&= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_q=1}^n e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_q}^* \wedge \theta_1 \otimes i_{e_{i_q} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_1}} \theta_2 \\
&= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_q=1}^n e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_q}^* \wedge \theta_1 \otimes \langle e_{i_q}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_1}^*, \theta_2 \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_q=1}^n \langle e_{i_q}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_1}^*, \theta_2 \rangle e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_q}^* \wedge \theta_1 \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{q(q-1)}{2}} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_q=1}^n \langle e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_q}^*, \theta_2 \rangle e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_q}^* \wedge \theta_1 \\
&= q! (-1)^{\frac{q(q-1)}{2}} \theta_2 \wedge \theta_1 = q! (-1)^{pq + \frac{q(q-1)}{2}} \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 = (-1)^{pq + \frac{q(q-1)}{2}} \frac{(p+q)!}{p!} \text{Alt}(\omega).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The next proposition shows that the operator Alt defines an exterior algebra endomorphism

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\omega_1 \in \mathcal{D}^{(p,q)}(V^*)$, $\omega_2 \in \mathcal{D}^{(r,s)}(V^*)$ then $\omega_1 \omega_2 \in \mathcal{D}^{(p+r, q+s)}(V^*)$ and*

$$(6) \quad \frac{(p+r+q+s)!}{(p+r)!(q+s)!} \text{Alt}(\omega_1 \omega_2) = (-1)^{qr} \left(\frac{(p+q)!}{p!q!} \text{Alt}\omega_1 \right) \wedge \left(\frac{(r+s)!}{r!s!} \text{Alt}\omega_2 \right).$$

In particular, if $\text{Alt}(\omega_1) = 0$ for some double form ω_1 , then $\text{Alt}(\omega_1 \omega_2) = 0$ for any double form ω_2 .

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\omega_1 = \theta_1 \otimes \theta_2 \in \mathcal{D}^{(p,q)}(V^*)$ and $\omega_2 = \theta_3 \otimes \theta_4 \in \mathcal{D}^{(r,s)}(V^*)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Alt}(\omega_1 \omega_2) &= \text{Alt}(\theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 \otimes \theta_2 \wedge \theta_4) \\
&= \frac{(p+r)!(q+s)!}{(p+r+q+s)!} \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \theta_4 \\
&= \frac{(p+r)!(q+s)!}{(p+r+q+s)!} (-1)^{qr} \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_4 \\
&= (-1)^{qr} \frac{(p+r)!(q+s)!}{(p+r+q+s)!} \frac{(p+q)!(r+s)!}{p!q!r!s!} \text{Alt}\omega_1 \wedge \text{Alt}\omega_2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We shall say that a double form ω satisfies the first Bianchi identity if $\mathfrak{S}\omega = 0$. In the next proposition we use Bianchi first sum to reformulate the classical Plücker relations, called also the Grassman quadratic p -relations

Proposition 3.6. *A p -form $\alpha \in \Lambda V^*$ is decomposable if and only if the double form $\alpha \otimes \alpha \in \mathcal{D}^{p,p}(V^*)$ satisfies the first Bianchi identity.*

Proof. Let $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be an orthonormal basis of V . We look at double forms as multilinear forms on V and we use an alternative form of the first Bianchi sum as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}(\alpha \otimes \alpha)(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{p+1}}; e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_{p-1}}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (-1)^k (\alpha \otimes \alpha)(e_{i_1}, \dots, \widehat{e_{i_k}}, \dots, e_{i_{p+1}}; e_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_{p-1}}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (-1)^k \alpha(e_{i_1}, \dots, \widehat{e_{i_k}}, \dots, e_{i_{p+1}}) \alpha(e_{i_k}, e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_{p-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

□

The following lemma will be useful in proving some results of the next section.

Lemma 3.7. (1) *Let $r, p \geq 1$, $q \geq 0$ and $\omega_1 \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}(V^*)$, $\omega_2 \in \mathcal{D}^{r-1,p-1}(V^*)$ be two double forms then*

$$\text{Alt}(\omega_1 \circ g\omega_2) = (-1)^{r-1} \frac{q+1}{r} \text{Alt}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\omega_1) \circ \omega_2).$$

In particular, if ω_1^t satisfies the first Bianchi identity then $\text{Alt}(\omega_1 \circ g\omega_2) = 0$.

(2) *Let $q, s \geq 1$, $p \geq 0$ and $\omega_1 \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}(V^*)$, $\omega_2 \in \mathcal{D}^{q-1,s-1}(V^*)$ be two double forms then*

$$\text{Alt}(g\omega_2 \circ \omega_1) = (-1)^p \frac{p+1}{s} \text{Alt}(\omega_2 \circ \mathfrak{S}(\omega_1)).$$

In particular, if ω_1 satisfies the first Bianchi identity then $\text{Alt}(g\omega_2 \circ \omega_1) = 0$.

Proof. Let $\omega_1 = \theta_1 \otimes \theta_2 \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}(V^*)$ and $\omega_2 = \theta_3 \otimes \theta_4 \in \mathcal{D}^{r-1,p-1}(V^*)$.

From one side, using the definition of the composition product of double forms we have,

$$\omega_1 \circ g\omega_2 = (\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2) \circ \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^* \wedge \theta_3 \otimes e_i^* \wedge \theta_4 = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \theta_1, e_i^* \wedge \theta_4 \rangle e_i^* \wedge \theta_3 \otimes \theta_2.$$

On the other hand, recall that the first adjoint Bianchi sum is given by

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\omega_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n i_{e_i} \theta_1 \otimes e_i^* \wedge \theta_2,$$

therefore,

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\omega_1) \circ \omega_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \theta_1, e_i^* \wedge \theta_4 \rangle \theta_3 \otimes e_i^* \wedge \theta_2.$$

To complete the proof it is enough to check that

$$\text{Alt}(\theta_3 \otimes e_i^* \wedge \theta_2) = (-1)^{r-1} \frac{q+1}{r} \text{Alt}(e_i^* \wedge \theta_3 \otimes \theta_2).$$

The proof of the second statement is identical. $\square$

4. PONTRJAGIN FORMS AND SCALARS

4.1. Pontrjagin forms.

Definition 4.1. Let R be a $(2, 2)$ double form on the Euclidean vector space (V, g) . The k -th Pontrjagin form of R , denoted $P_k(R)$, is the $4k$ -form defined by

$$(7) \quad P_k(R) = \frac{1}{(k!)^2 (2\pi)^{2k}} \text{Alt}(R^k \circ R^k) \in \Lambda^{4k}(V^*).$$

Remark 4.1. The previous definition is motivated by Riemannian geometry. If (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold of dimension n , and k is a positive integer such that $4k \leq n$. Let R be the Riemann curvature tensor seen as a $(2, 2)$ double form. In Stehney [15] it is showed that the differential form $P_k(R)$ is a closed differential form of degree $4k$ that represents the k -th pontrjagin class of M . Let us note that the previous result of Stehney is a reformulation of a result originally due to Chern [4].

Proposition 4.1. Let W denote the Weyl part of the $(2, 2)$ double form R , then

$$(8) \quad P_k(R) = P_k(W) = \frac{1}{(k!)^2 (2\pi)^{2k}} \text{Alt}(W^k \circ W^k).$$

In other words, the Pontrjagin forms depend only on the Weyl part of R .

This result were first proved by Avez [1], Bivens [2], Greub [6] and Branson-Gover [3].

Proof. Recall that we have the decomposition $R = W + gH$, where h is the Schouten tensor. Then $R^k = W^k + gH$, for some double form H , and therefore

$$R^k \circ R^k = (W^k + gH) \circ (W^k + gH) = W^k \circ W^k + W^k \circ gH + gH \circ W^k + gH \circ gH.$$

Since W^k and gH are both symmetric double forms and both satisfy the first Bianchi identity, Lemma 3.7 shows that

$$\text{Alt}(W^k \circ gH) = \text{Alt}(gH \circ W^k) = \text{Alt}(gH \circ gH) = 0.$$

The proposition follows then immediately. $\square$

As a direct consequence of the previous proposition all the Pontrjagin classes of a conformally-flat manifold vanish. In the next theorem we are going to generalize this to more general q -conformally-flat manifolds.

For $n \geq 4k$, following [10, 14], We say that a Riemannian n -manifold (M, g) is k -conformally flat if its Riemann curvature tensor R is such that the double form R^k is divisible by g , that is $R^k = gH$ for some double form H satisfying the first Bianchi identity. We recover the usual conformally-flat manifolds for $k = 1$. Using Lemma 3.7 one can prove easily the following

Theorem 4.2. *Let (M, g) be a k -conformally flat Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 4k$. Then the Pontrjagin classes P_i of M vanish for $i \geq k$.*

Proof. Let $R^k = gH$, where H is some symmetric double form that satisfies the first Bianchi identity, then Lemma 3.7 shows that

$$\text{Alt}(R^k \circ R^k) = \text{Alt}(gH \circ R^k) = 0.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

4.2. Pontrjagin-Chern scalars of double forms.

4.2.1. *The volume double form.* Let (V, g) be an Euclidean vector space of even dimension $n = 4k$. Fix a volume form ω_g on V , that is choose $\omega_g \in \Lambda^n(V^*)$ with norm 1. In other words we choose an orientation on V . Using the inclusion $\Lambda^{4k}(V^*) \subset \mathcal{D}^{(2k, 2k)}(V^*)$, one can look at ω_g as a $(2k, 2k)$ double form. The so obtained double form, which we continue to denote by ω_g , shall be called the *volume double form* of (V, g) .

It is easy to check that the operator $\Lambda^{2k}(V^*) \rightarrow \Lambda^{2k}(V^*)$ that is canonically associated to ω_g is nothing but the Hodge star operator $*$. In particular, for any two $2k$ -vectors α, β one has

$$\omega_g(\alpha, \beta) = \langle * \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, * \beta \rangle = *(\alpha \wedge \beta).$$

We list below some useful properties of this volume double form.

Proposition 4.3. (1) *In the composition algebra of double forms $\mathcal{D}(V^*)$, the volume double form ω_g is a square root of the unity, that is*

$$\omega_g \circ \omega_g = \frac{g^{2k}}{(2k)!}.$$

(2) *For any two $(2k, 2k)$ double forms ω_1 and ω_2 we have*

$$\langle \omega_g \circ \omega_1, \omega_g \circ \omega_2 \rangle = \langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle = \langle \omega_1 \circ \omega_g, \omega_2 \circ \omega_g \rangle.$$

(3) *If $*$ denotes the double Hodge star operating on double forms and ψ is an arbitrary $(2k, 2k)$ double form then*

$$(* \psi) \circ \omega_g = \omega_g \circ \psi, \text{ and } \psi \circ \omega_g = \omega_g \circ (* \psi).$$

In particular, we have

$$*\psi = \omega_g \circ \psi \circ \omega_g.$$

Proof. Let (e_i) be a positive orthonormal basis of V , then it is easy to show that

$$\omega_g = \sum_I e_I^* \otimes *e_I^*,$$

where the index I runs over all multi-indexes $i_1, \dots, i_{2k}$ such that $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{2k} \leq n$ and the star power denotes duals as usual. Consequently,

$$\omega_g \circ \omega_g = \sum_{I,J} \langle e_I^*, *e_J^* \rangle e_J^* \otimes *e_I^* = \sum_I e_I^* \otimes e_I^* = \frac{g^{2k}}{(2k)!}.$$

This completes the proof of (1).

Next note that

$$(\omega_g \circ \omega_1)^t \circ (\omega_g \circ \omega_2) = \omega_1^t \circ \omega_g \circ \omega_g \circ \omega_2 = \omega_1^t \circ \omega_2.$$

Where we used the fact that ω_g is a symmetric double form. After taking full contractions of both sides one gets the relation in (2).

To prove (3), without loss of generality let $\omega = e_I^* \otimes e_J^*$ where I and J are multi-indexes as above. Then $*\omega = *e_I^* \otimes *e_J^*$ and

$$(*\omega) \circ \omega_g = \sum_K \langle *e_I^*, *e_K^* \rangle e_K^* \otimes *e_J^* = e_I^* \otimes *e_J^*.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\omega_g \circ \omega = \sum_K \langle e_K^*, e_J^* \rangle e_I^* \otimes *e_K^* = e_I^* \otimes *e_J^*.$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

The proof of the following properties is straightforward

Proposition 4.4. *If ω_g is the $(2k, 2k)$ volume double form then we have*

$$*\omega_g = \omega_g, c\omega_g = 0, g\omega_g = 0, \text{ and } \langle \omega_g, \omega_g \rangle = 1.$$

4.2.2. Pontrjagin-Chern scalars of double forms.

Definition 4.2. Let R be a $(2, 2)$ double form on an oriented Euclidean vector space (V, g) of dimension $n = 4k$. The k -th Pontrjagin-Chern scalar of R , denoted $p_k(R)$, is the real number defined by

$$(9) \quad p_k(R) = \frac{1}{(k!)^2 (2\pi)^{2k}} \langle R^k \circ R^k, \omega_g \rangle.$$

Where ω_g is the volume double form of V .

It is easy to show that

$$p_k(R) = \frac{1}{(k!)^2(2\pi)^{2k}} \langle \text{Alt}(R^k \circ R^k), \omega_g \rangle = \langle P_k(R), \omega_g \rangle,$$

where the previous inner product is the one of $4k$ -forms, ω_g is the volume form of (V, g) and $P_k(R)$ is the k -th Pontrjagin form of R . In particular, since $\dim \Lambda^{4k} V^* = 1$ we have

$$P_k(R) = \langle P_k(R), \omega_g \rangle \omega_g = p_k(R) \omega_g.$$

The following proposition provides an alternative definition of the previous scalars

Proposition 4.5. *The k -th Pontrjagin-Chern scalar $p_k(R)$ is given by a full contraction as follows*

$$(10) \quad p_k(R) = \frac{1}{(k!)^2(2\pi)^{2k}(2k)!} c^{2k}(R^k \circ R^k \circ \omega_g).$$

Proof. It results from proposition 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} p_k(R) &= \frac{1}{(k!)^2(2\pi)^{2k}} \langle R^k \circ R^k, \omega_g \rangle = \frac{1}{(k!)^2(2\pi)^{2k}} \langle R^k \circ R^k \circ \omega_g, \omega_g \circ \omega_g \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(k!)^2(2\pi)^{2k}} \langle R^k \circ R^k \circ \omega_g, \frac{g^{2k}}{(2k)!} \rangle = \frac{1}{(k!)^2(2\pi)^{2k}(2k)!} c^{2k}(R^k \circ R^k \circ \omega_g). \end{aligned}$$

Where in the last step we used the fact that the contraction map c is the adjoint of the multiplication map by g , see [11]. $\square$

Remark 4.2. *We remark that if (e_i) is an orthonormal basis of (V, g) and R^k is seen as a bilinear form on Λ^{2k} then*

$$c^{2k}(R^k \circ R^k \circ \omega_g) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{2k}=1}^n R^k \circ R^k(e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{2k}}, *(e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{2k}})).$$

For a Riemannian oriented manifold of dimension $n = 4k$, let R be its Riemann curvature tensor seen as a $(2, 2)$ double form. The integral over M of the function $p_k(R)$ is a *topological invariant* called the k -th pontryagin number of M and is denoted by $p_k(M)$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let R be a $(2, 2)$ double form on an oriented Euclidean vector space (V, g) of dimension $n = 4k$. Then we have*

$$(11) \quad |p_k(R)| \leq \frac{1}{(k!)^2(2\pi)^{2k}} \|R^k\|^2.$$

Furthermore, if equality occurs then the contraction $cR^k = 0$.

Proof. The double form R^q being symmetric then we have

$$p_k(R) = \frac{1}{(k!)^2(2\pi)^{2k}} \langle R^k \circ R^k, \omega_g \rangle = \frac{1}{(k!)^2(2\pi)^{2k}} \langle R^k, R^k \circ \omega_g \rangle.$$

Cauchy-Schwartz inequality shows that

$$(k!)^2(2\pi)^{2k} |p_k(R)| = |\langle R^k, R^k \circ \omega_g \rangle| \leq \|R^k\| \|R^k \circ \omega_g\|.$$

Next, we apply proposition 4.3 to show that $\|R^k \circ \omega_g\| = \|R^k\|$ as follows.

$$\|R^k \circ \omega_g\|^2 = \langle R^k \circ \omega_g, R^k \circ \omega_g \rangle = \langle R^k, R^k \rangle.$$

This completes the proof of the first part of the proposition. To prove the second part, first we use Lemma 4.7 below to show that

$$c(R^k \circ \omega_g) = \mathfrak{S} R^k \circ \omega_g = 0.$$

Finally, if equality holds, then R^k must be proportional to $R^k \circ \omega_g$ and therefore $cR^k = c(R^k \circ \omega_g) = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. *Let $n = 2p$ and ω be a (p, p) double form. Then we have*

$$c(\omega \circ \omega_g) = \mathfrak{S} \omega \circ \omega_g.$$

Where the last ω_g is the volume double form seen as a $(p-1, p+1)$ double form.

Proof. Let $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be an orthonormal basis of V . By linearity we may assume that $\omega = e_I^* \otimes e_J^*$, where I, J two multi-indexes of length p . Then

$$\omega \circ \omega_g = e_I^* \otimes *e_J^*.$$

Therefore,

$$c(\omega \circ \omega_g) = \sum_{i=1}^n i_{e_i} e_I^* \otimes i_{e_i} (*e_J^*).$$

A straightforward computation shows that $i_{e_i}(*e_J^*) = *(e_i^* e_J^*)$, therefore

$$c(\omega \circ \omega_g) = \sum_{i=1}^n i_{e_i} e_I^* \otimes *(e_i^* e_J^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n (i_{e_i} e_I^* \otimes e_i^* e_J^*) \circ \omega_g = \mathfrak{S} \omega \circ \omega_g.$$

$\square$

Remark 4.3. *If W denotes the Weyl part of R then in a similar way one can prove that*

$$|p_k(R)| \leq \frac{1}{(k!)^2(2\pi)^{2k}} \|W^k\|^2.$$

5. THORPE MANIFOLDS

An oriented compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension $n = 4k$ is said to be a *Thorpe manifold* if $*R^k = R^k$. Where R is the Riemann curvature tensor seen as a $(2, 2)$ double form, R^{2k} its exterior power and $*$ is the double Hodge star operator acting on double forms.

For $k = 1$ we recover 4-dimensional Einstein manifolds. For $n > 4$, Thorpe manifolds are in general not Einstein and vice versa, see for instance [8].

Recall that the Gauss-Bonnet theorem asserts that

$$\int_M h_{4k} \omega_g = (2\pi)^{2k} (2k)! \chi(M).$$

Where h_{4k} is the $4k$ -Gauss-Bonnet curvature of (M, g) which is given by, see for instance [11],

$$h_{4k} = *R^{2k} = *(R^k R^k) = \langle *R^k, R^k \rangle.$$

In particular, for a Thorpe manifold we have

$$h_{4k} = \|R^k\|^2 \geq 0.$$

Therefore, the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of a compact Thorpe manifold of dimension $n = 4k$ is always non-negative, and it is zero if and only if the manifold is q -flat. This remark can be refined as follows

Theorem 5.1. *Let (M, g) be a compact orientable $4k$ -dimensional Thorpe manifold, then*

$$\chi(M) \geq \frac{k!k!}{(2k)!} |p_k(M)|.$$

Where $p_k(M)$ is the k -th Pontrjagin number of M . Furthermore if equality holds then M is k -Ricci flat, that is $cR^q = 0$.

Proof. Using Proposition 4.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |p_k(M)| &\leq \int_M |p_k(R)| \omega_g \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(k!)^2 (2\pi)^{2k}} \int_M \|R^k\|^2 \omega_g \\ &= \frac{1}{(k!)^2 (2\pi)^{2k}} \int_M h_{4k} \omega_g \\ &= \frac{(2k)!}{k!k!} \chi(M). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if equality holds then $|p_k(R)| = \frac{1}{(k!)^2 (2\pi)^{2k}} \|R^k\|^2$. Again by Proposition 4.6 the metric must then be k -Ricci flat. This completes the proof of the theorem.

□

We note that the first part of the Theorem was first proved by Thorpe [16]. As a consequence of the second part of the previous theorem, we get the following main result of [9]

Corollary 5.2. *A compact orientable manifold M of dimension 8 that is at the same time Einstein and Thorpe and satisfies $6\chi(M) = |p_2(M)|$ must be flat.*

Proof. From one hand, the previous Theorem 5.1, once applied to the case $k = 2$ shows that $cR^2 = 0$. Consequently, the second Gauss-Bonnet curvature satisfies $h_4 = \frac{1}{4!}c^4R^2 = \frac{1}{4!}c^3(cR^2) = 0$.

On the other hand, an Einstein manifold with identically zero h_4 must be flat, [11]. This completes the proof of the corollary. $\square$

Next, we are going to generalize the above corollary to higher dimensions. First, we start by a definition

Definition 5.1 ([12]). Let $0 < 2q < n$, we shall say that a Riemannian n -manifold is *hyper $(2q)$ -Einstein* if the first contraction of the tensor R^q is proportional to the metric g^{2q-1} , that is

$$cR^q = \lambda g^{2q-1}.$$

We recover the usual Einstein metrics for $q = 1$. The following theorem generalizes a well known result about 4 dimensional Einstein manifolds

Theorem 5.3 ([11, 12]). *Let $k \geq 1$ and (M, g) be a hyper $(2k)$ -Einstein manifold of dimension $n \geq 4k$. Then the Gauss-Bonnet curvature h_{4k} of (M, g) is nonnegative. Furthermore, $h_{4k} \equiv 0$ if and only if (M, g) is k -flat.*

Recall that k -flat means that the sectional curvature of R^k is identically zero. Now, we are ready to state and prove a generalization of the above corollary 5.2

Theorem 5.4. *A compact orientable manifold M of dimension $8k$ that is at the same time hyper $2k$ -Einstein and Thorpe and satisfies $\frac{(4k)!}{(2k)!(2k)!}\chi(M) = |p_{2k}(M)|$ must be k -flat.*

We recover corollary 5.2 for $k = 1$.

Proof. Theorem 5.1 shows that $cR^{2k} = 0$. Consequently, the $4k$ -th Gauss-Bonnet curvature satisfies $h_{4k} = \frac{1}{(4k)!}c^{4k}R^{2k} = \frac{1}{(4k)!}c^{4k-1}(cR^{2k}) = 0$. Then Theorem 5.3 shows that the manifold must be k -flat. $\square$

6. FINAL REMARKS

6.1. Mixed Pontrjagin numbers. Let (M, g) be a compact $4k$ -dimensional Riemannian oriented manifold with Riemann curvature tensor R , seen as a $(2, 2)$ double form, and $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m$ a collection of natural numbers such that $k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + mk_m = k$. The Pontrjagin number $p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_m^{k_m}$ of M is defined by the integral over M of the following $4k$ -form

$$P_1^{k_1} P_2^{k_2} \dots P_m^{k_m} := \underbrace{(P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_1)}_{k_1\text{-times}} \wedge \underbrace{(P_2 \wedge \dots \wedge P_2)}_{k_2\text{-times}} \wedge \dots \wedge \underbrace{(P_m \wedge \dots \wedge P_m)}_{k_m\text{-times}}.$$

Where for each i , $P_i = P_i(R)$ denotes the i -th Pontrjagin form of R as defined in section 4.1.

The above Pontrjagin numbers are important topological invariants for a manifold, they are oriented cobordism invariant, and together with Stiefel-Whitney numbers they determine an oriented manifold's oriented cobordism class. Furthermore, the signature and the $\hat{A}$ genus can be expressed explicitly through linear combinations of the above Pontrjagin numbers.

We are now going to prove a Stehney type formula for all these numbers.

Theorem 6.1. *With the above notations we have*

$$P_1^{k_1} P_2^{k_2} \dots P_m^{k_m} = \frac{(4k)!}{[(2k)!]^2 (2\pi)^{2k}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{[(2i)!]^2}{(i!)^{2k_i} (4i)!} \right) \text{Alt} \left[(R \circ R)^{k_1} (R^2 \circ R^2)^{k_2} \dots (R^m \circ R^m)^{k_m} \right].$$

Where all the powers over double forms are taken with respect to the exterior product of double forms. In particular, the pontrjagin numbers are given by the integral

$$p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_m^{k_m} = \frac{(4k)!}{[(2k)!]^2 (2\pi)^{2k}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{[(2i)!]^2}{(i!)^{2k_i} (4i)!} \right) \int_M \frac{c^{2k}}{(2k)!} \left[\left((R \circ R)^{k_1} (R^2 \circ R^2)^{k_2} \dots (R^m \circ R^m)^{k_m} \right) \circ \omega_g \right] \omega_g.$$

Where c is the contraction map of double forms and ω_g is the volume form as above.

Proof. Let ω_i , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, be a collection of arbitrary $(2p_i, 2p_i)$ double forms, then successive applications of Proposition 3.5 show that

$$(12) \quad \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^k 4p_i)!}{[(\sum_{i=1}^k 2p_i)!]^2} \text{Alt}(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_k) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(4p_i)!}{[(2p_i)!]^2} \right) \text{Alt}(\omega_1) \wedge \text{Alt}(\omega_2) \wedge \dots \wedge \text{Alt}(\omega_k).$$

In particular, if ω is an arbitrary $(2p, 2p)$ double form one has

$$(13) \quad \frac{(4pk)!}{[(2pk)!]^2} \text{Alt}(\omega^k) = \left[\frac{(4p)!}{[(2p)!]^2} \right]^k \underbrace{\text{Alt}(\omega) \wedge \dots \wedge \text{Alt}(\omega)}_{k\text{-times}}.$$

Using the previous two formulas, one can directly and without difficulties complete the proof of the theorem. The second part can be proved easily by imitating the proof of proposition 4.5. $\square$

6.2. Stehney's formula for Pontrjagin forms. For the seek of completeness, we include here the derivation of Stehney's formula (7) from Chern's theorem [4].

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian n -manifold with Riemann curvature tensor R , $m \in M$ and (e_i) an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at m . Chern theorem [4] shows that at m we have

$$P_k(R) = \frac{[(2k)!]^2}{(4k)!(2\pi)^{2k}(2^k k!)^2} \sum_I \Omega_I \wedge \Omega_I.$$

Where the sum runs over all multi-indices $I = (i_1, \dots, i_{2k})$ such that $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{2k} \leq n$, and

$$\Omega_I(v_1, \dots, v_{2k}) = 2^k R^k(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{2k}; e_I).$$

We used the notation $e_I = e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{2k}}$. We emphasize that our convention for the wedge product is as defined by formula (5). Next, let J, K in the sums below run over all multi-indices of length $2k$ as it was the case for I in the previous sum, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \sum_I \Omega_I \wedge \Omega_I &= \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \sum_{I, J, K} \Omega_I(e_J) e_J^* \wedge \Omega_I(e_K) e_K^* \\ &= \sum_{I, J, K} R^k(e_J, e_I) R^k(e_K, e_I) e_J^* \wedge e_K^* \\ &= \sum_{J, K} R^k \circ R^k(e_J, e_K) e_J^* \wedge e_K^* \\ &= \frac{(4k)!}{(2k)!(2k)!} \text{Alt} \left(\sum_{J, K} R^k \circ R^k(e_J, e_K) e_J^* \otimes e_K^* \right) \\ &= \frac{(4k)!}{(2k)!(2k)!} \text{Alt}(R^k \circ R^k). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$P_k(R) = \frac{[(2k)!]^2}{(4k)!(2\pi)^{2k}(2^k k!)^2} \sum_I \Omega_I \wedge \Omega_I = \frac{2^{2k} \text{Alt}(R^k \circ R^k)}{(2\pi)^{2k}(2^k k!)^2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2k}(k!)^2} \text{Alt}(R^k \circ R^k).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Avez, Characteristic Classes and Weyl Tensor: Applications to General Relativity, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences Vol. 66, No. 2, pp. 265-268, June (1970).
- [2] I. Bivens, *Curvature Operators and Characteristic Classes*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society Vol. 269, No. 1 (Jan., 1982), pp. 301-310
- [3] T. Branson, A. R. Gover, *Pontrjagin forms and invariant objects related to the Q-curvature*, Commun. Contemp. Math. 09, 335 (2007).

- [4] S. S. Chern, On the curvature and characteristic classes of a Riemannian manifold, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 20 (1956), 117-126.
- [5] W. H. Greub, *Multilinear algebra, second edition*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1978).
- [6] W. H. Greub, Pontrjagin classes and Weyl tensors, C. R. Math. Rep Acad. Sci Canada III, 177-183 (1981)
- [7] N. Hitchin, *On compact four-dimensional Einstein manifolds*, Journal of Differential Geometry, 9, 435-441, (1974).
- [8] J. M. Kim, *Einstein-Thorpe manifolds*, Ph.D. Thesis, SUNY at Stony Brook, (1998).
- [9] J. M. Kim, *8-dimensional Einstein-Thorpe manifolds*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Series A, 68, 278-284, (2000).
- [10] R. S. Kulkarni, *On the Bianchi identities*, Math. Ann. 199, 175-204 (1972).
- [11] M. L. Labbi, *Double forms, curvature structures and the (p, q) -curvatures*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 357, n10, 3971-3992 (2005).
- [12] M. L. Labbi, *On generalized Einstein metrics*, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, Vol.15, No.2, 61-69, (2010).
- [13] M. L. Labbi, *On some algebraic identities and the exterior product of double forms*, Archivum mathematicum, Vol. 49, No. 4, 241-271 (2013).
- [14] Nasu, T., *On conformal invariants of higher order*, Hiroshima Math. J. 5 (1975), 43-60.
- [15] A. Stehney, *Courbure d'ordre p et les classes de Pontrjagin*. Journal of differential geometry, 8, pp. 125-134. (1973).
- [16] J. A. Thorpe, *Some remarks on the Gauss-Bonnet integral*, Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics, Vol. 18, No. 8 (1969).

Mathematics Department
College of Science
University of Bahrain
32038 Bahrain.
E-mail: mlabbi@uob.edu.bh